

Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
Steven N. Williams (State Bar No. 175489)
Cadio Zirpoli (State Bar No. 179108)
Christopher K.L. Young (State Bar No. 318371)
Elissa A. Buchanan (State Bar No. 249996)

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP
601 California Street, Suite 1000
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 500-6800
Facsimile: (415) 395-9940
Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com
swilliams@saverilawfirm.com
czirpoli@saverilawfirm.com
cyoung@saverilawfirm.com
eabuchanan@saverilawfirm.com
tmanfredi@saverilawfirm.com

Counsel for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

J. DOE 1 et al.,
Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,
v.
GITHUB, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case Nos. 4:22-cv-06823-JST
4:22-cv-07074-JST

**DECLARATION OF TRAVIS
MANFREDI IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO MAINTAIN
CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS
FOR PLAINTIFFS' TRUE NAMES
PURSUANT TO SECTION 6.3 OF THE
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
(ECF NO. 63)**

Date: May 4, 2023
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Courtroom: 6, 2nd Floor
Judge: Hon. Jon Tigar

1 I, Travis Manfredi, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of California. I am an
3 associate at the Joseph Saveri Law Firm, LLP (“JSLF”), counsel of record for Plaintiffs Does 1–4
4 in this action. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon, I could
5 competently testify thereto. I make this declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1746 in
6 support of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Maintain Confidentiality Designations for Plaintiffs’ True
7 Names Pursuant to Section 6.3 of the Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 63).

8 2. Defendants Microsoft Corp. and GitHub, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”)
9 notified Plaintiffs by letter of their challenge of Plaintiffs’ designation of their true names as
10 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” pursuant to Section 6.2 of the Stipulated Protective Order.
11 Defendants’ letter does not include the additional “–ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” portion of
12 the designation. The Stipulated Protective Order does not contain a designation of “HIGHLY
13 CONFIDENTIAL” alone.

14 3. In their letter, Defendants stated the basis for their challenge is “that Rule 10(a)
15 and the Advanced Textile case require extraordinary circumstances for keeping such information
16 a secret, and that no such circumstances are present here.”

17 4. Counsel for the parties met and conferred in voice-to-voice dialogue prior to the
18 filing of this motion regarding the subject of this motion.

19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this
20 30th day of March, 2023 at San Francisco, California.

21 _____
22 /s/ *Travis Manfredi*
Travis Manfredi